#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 26085 WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/EP2005/000841	International filing date (day/month/year) 28 January 2005 (28.01.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30 January 2004 (30.01.2004)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant MIXIS FRANCE S.A.				

1.	<ol> <li>This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).</li> </ol>				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.  In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	•		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and	Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	national application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application		
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).				
			Date of issuance of this report 31 July 2006 (31.07.2006)		
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		lombettes	Authorized officer  Agnes Wittmann-Regis		
Facsin	Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 e-mail: pt06@wipo.int				

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TRE

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: KPOPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING ROTHORITY see form PCT/ISA/220 (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 30.01.2004 28.01.2005 PCT/EP2005/000841 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C12N15/81, C12N1/15, C12N1/19 Applicant MIXIS FRANCE S.A. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Box No. I **Priority** ☐ Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VII Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. **Authorized Officer** Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Stovanov, B

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7726



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000841

_	Box No		
1.	With re	gard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
	☐ The land	ils opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With re	egard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
a. type of material:			
	⋈	a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. form	nat of material:	
	Ø	in written format	
	⋈	in computer readable form	
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:	
	×	contained in the international application as filed.	
	×	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
;	h · c	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
	4. Additional comments:		

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000841

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-44

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-44

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-44

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/000841

Section V

- 1. The claims should clearly specify all the essential features needed to define the invention. When reading the claims a person of average skill in the art should be able to make a technical sense out of it. However, present claims 1-44 are unduly broad and do not specify how to generate and detect the recombinant DNA sequences. In other words, Applicant attempts to define the subject matter for which protection is sought by using only vague and imprecise terms and expressions like "recombinant cassette", that apparently can be a "cloning vehicle" or even an entire YAC; "marker sequence" and "target sequence" that are "homologous" to some locus, or unclear internal designations like for instance "pMXY9" and "pMXY12". Thus, the subject matter of present claims are defined only by the result to be achieved, which is not allowable (Article 6 and Guidelines, CIII-4.7 PCT). Therefore, claims 1, 8 and 14 are unclear.
- 2. Furthermore, present international application provides with only a limited number of ways to perform the process of present claims 1-35, that can serve as the support for the extremely broad scope of said claims. Thus, this International Search Authority considers the subject matter of present international application as not sufficiently disclosed and, therefore not fully supported by the description (Article 5/6 PCT).
- 3. In view of the above, novelty and inventive step of the present application cannot be acknowledged by this International Search Authority.
- 4. It is well known in the art that targeted DNA recombination, especially when performed *in vivo* appears to be a delicate process which success depends strongly on the precise choice of strictly defined DNA sequences flanking the sequence to be recombined. The vague and imprecise definition of the process of present claims 1-35 and the plasmids/strains of the claims 36-44 which are apparently not connected by any means to the process of claims 1-35, are unclear. This International Search Authority has serious doubts that a person skilled in the art will be able to reproduce the subject matter of present application without suffering undue burden.